

RECEIVED

JUL 27 2006

ECEJ-TEP

July 26, 2006



Sent via U.S. Mail Courier

Eric Johnson
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 8, 8ENF-T
999 18th Street, Suite 300
Denver, Colorado 80202-2466

RE: Progress report for June 2006 activities - Hecla Mining Company Apex Site (EPA ID No. UT982589848, Docket No. RCRA-8-99-06)

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Per paragraph 64 of the Order, enclosed is a copy of the June 2006 progress report for your records.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call me at (208) 769-4112 or e-mail at pglader@hecla-mining.com.

Sincerely,

Paul L. Glader

Manager Environmental Services

Encl

Cc:

HMC Legal Dept (w/o attachments)

John Jacus, Esq. (DG&S)

MINING COMPANY

PAUL L. GLADER, P.E.

Manager Environmental Services 6500 N. Mineral Drive, Suite 200 Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 83815-9408 Ph: 208/769-4112

Fax: 208/769-4122 Or fax: 208/292-5512 pglader@hecla-mining.com



MEMORANDUM TO:

Apex File

COPIES TO:

distribution

FROM:

Paul Glader

SUBJECT:

Progress Report No. 26 for period ending June 30, 2006; Pond 2 Final Closure - Apex Site, Washington County, Utah

Summary

The sixth visual inspection, per the long term monitoring plan, was conducted on June 17th. No unusual conditions were noted; some minor erosion maintenance will be scheduled for late summer.

The settlement monuments were surveyed on May 16th - no appreciable settlement has been noted. An analysis of the settlement surveying through April is included in this report. Settlement analysis will now take place on a quarterly basis.

The post construction site inspection with EPA and Hecla representatives was conducted May 23rd.

Major Issues

- During the period of post closure long term monitoring, the Hecla representative will be Paul Glader, Manager of Environmental Services. Paul's contact information includes: Work Telephone - 208.769.4112, email address – pglader@hecla-mining.com.
- 2. The May 23rd inspection was conducted by Eric Johnson (EPA), Chris Gypton (Hecla) and Paul Glader (Hecla).
- 3. Surface Monitor Results To Date Since monitoring of the top surface began (Jan 4, 2006), there has been no appreciable movement in the surface monuments at the Apex site. Significant decreases in elevation could have meant large volume changes within the impoundment due to either (1) consolidation of enclosed materials, or (2) loss of liquid through leaks in the impoundment.

There are ten monuments installed on the top surface. As shown, between installation of the monuments and the April 12, 2006 monitoring period, two monuments have not moved, four have decreased and four have increased. Any movement is slight and can be attributed to surveying accuracy limitations. MEI's "Review of Surface Monitor Data" report was included in the May report. Surveying of the monuments will now take place on a quarterly basis.

Monument	Total Elevation Change Since 1/4/06 (feet)
1	-0.02
2	0.01
3	0.00
4	0.03
5	0.03
6	-0.01
7	-0.01
8	0.00
9	-0.03
10	0.01

Work Planned for Next Period

- 1. Visual inspection of site.
- 2. Settlement monument survey will take place quarterly basis.

Sampling and Analysis in Period

Field Tests, Inspections & QA/QC

1. The sixth site inspection was done on June 13th; a copy of the inspection report is included in the Supplemental Attachments section.

Cost and Schedule

Committed costs in June 2006 were approximately \$1,400. Total project to date committed is approximately \$1,235,000.

The cost report for June is attached. Current status of the deliverables listed in the RCRA 7003 order is as follows:

Reference Paragraph	Due	Remarks
57	15 days after effective date of order	Work completed on March 9, 2004
63	45 days after receipt of filing of order	Work started on February 23, 2004
64	28 th day after close of month	Requirement in effect after order is filed.
66	30 days after completion of all closure plan tasks	Construction completion report submitted on 3/13/2006. A follow-up report to be issued after end of monitoring period.
	63 64	57 15 days after effective date of order 63 45 days after receipt of filing of order 64 28 th day after close of month 66 30 days after completion of all closure plan

The update of the schedule milestones is on the following table:

Milestone	Target	Actual	Remarks
Issue bid package – Phase I (Sump Drains)	6/14/04	6/15/04	Portion of RFP materials issued at pre- bid on 6/14/04; remainder sent via courier
Issue RFP package - Phase III	6/24/04	6/24/04	
Award contract for Phase I	6/24/04	6/29/04	Date contract was shipped to Hughes
Pre-bid meeting - Phase III	7/19/04	7/19/04	
Start Phase I (Sump Drains) construction	7/12/04	7/19/04	
Start Phase II (Evaporation)	7/19/04	7/29/04	
Receive bids for Phase III	8/2/04	8/2/04	
Re-bid Phase III contract package	April 2005	4/27/05	Date bid package was sent to Hughes
Start Phase III construction	End of August 2005	8/29/05	Start of contractor mobilization
Complete Phase III construction	Dec 23rd 2005	12/23/05	Completion of contract scope of work
Issue Construction Completion Report	Week of 3/13/2006	3/13/06	

Supplemental Attachments

- 1. June 17, 2006 long term monitoring inspection report, by D. Truman.
- 2. June Cost Report

Annual Site Inspection Summary Sheet - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 1 of 4 - Summary

Date: 🔼	- 17- 0	4		
Inspector:	D-1			
Cover System Component	Po	otential Problem	Allowable Limits	Limits Potentially Exceeded
Site Perimeter	Erosion or	Fencing Issues	NA	NA
	Subsidenc	ce	Minor: ponding < 1" some gullying / erosion	Yes <u>}</u> * No
			Significant: see Table 2	Yes _* No <u></u>
	Embankm	ent Slope Stability	excessive movement or surface cracks > than 1"	Yes* No _≿
		on top	depth > 1"	V00 * N0 %
Cover System (outslopes, top, rock) Gully	Gullying	at embankment crest or on outslope	depth > 2"	Yes* No _≿
		w/in normal flow channel in diversion channel	no gullying allowed	Yes* No <u>%</u>
		w/in diversions at toe of impoundment outslope	no gullying allowed	Yes* No <u>%</u>
		in diversion channel at any other location	NA	NA
	Erosion P	rotection Stability	rock subsiding or missing	Yes * No 7
	Seepage		no colored seepage allowed (red, blue, yellow w/ crystallization)	Yes * No 🏷
	Diversion	Channel	rock in place, channel not moving, fence stable	Yes'∕⊘ * No
Runoff Control System	Diversion	Swales	rock in place, no silting in or head cutting	Yes * No
		silt build up at fence version channel	allowed if not effecting cover system	Yes* No

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan Form 2 of 4 - Site Perimeter

Inspection Date: 6-17-06	
Inspector: D-/	
Visible Outlying	Areas
Observed All Area look your.	
Observed Now E	
	May require repair: Yes* No
Property Boundary Fence and 0	Gate (walk fence line)
Observed All Catas, Forces, and Condition:	
Observed Damage:	
Potential Corrective Actions:	
	May require repair: Yes* No
All Upgradient Areas (areas tha	t drain onto property)
Observed Condition: All Upgradient Areas (areas that the condition of the	i met Side of
Observed Damage: No Alexander	
	May require repair: Yes* No

^{*} Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 3 of 4 - Impoundment

	Inspection Date	6-17-0					
Inchaster: Tree							
Outslopes							
Observed Performance:	Rock Cover Subsidence:	Y	es _ No	<u> v</u>	May require repair: Yes* NoX		
	Excessive Slope Movement	ent (failure): Y	es _ No	<u> </u>	May require repair: Yes* No _X		
	Gully Development:	Ye	s No	8	May require repair: Yes* No		
	Observable Leachate (co	lored): Ye	s _ No	8	May require repair: Yes* No _ 		
	Excessive Siltation (at sle	ope toe): Ye	s No	<u>\partial \text{\tint{\text{\tinit}\\ \text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texit{\text{\tex{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texit{\text{\ti</u>	May require repair: Yes* No ➤		
Observed Damage:							
Potential Corrective Actions:							
		To	top surfa	ace soils)			
Observed Performance:	Cracking (>1" width):	Yes	No _	<u> </u>	May require repair: Yes* No _──		
	Settlement / Evidence of	Ponding: Yes	No _	0	May require repair: Yes* No		
	Erosion / Gullying:	Yes	<u>%</u> № _	_	May require repair: Yes* No 🔀		
Observed Damage:	,						
Potential Corrective Actions:							
		Erosion	Protection	n Layer (roc	k)		
Observed Performance:	Rock Staying in Place:	Yes <u>v</u> No	_		May require repair: Yes* No 😕		
	Rock Subsiding:	Yes _ No	<u>k</u>		May require repair: Yes* No		
	Missing Rock:	Yes _ No	<u>~</u>		May require repair: Yes* No 👱		
Observed Damage:	ert-						
Potential Corrective Actions:	6~4						

" магк ан areas or concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.

Annual Site Inspection - Apex Site - Pond 2

Hecla Mining Company - Long-Term Maintenance and Monitoring Plan

Form 4 of 4 - Diversion Channel and Swales

Date:	-17-04		
		Diversion Channel	
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes <u>V</u> No	May require repair: Yes* No 🎘
	Normal Flow Channel in place:	Yes ½ No	May require repair: Yes* No _≿
	Encroaching on Site Fencing:	Yes _ No ъ	May require repair: Yes* No _\overline{\infty}
Observed Damage:	721-		
Potential Corrective Actions:	1021-		
		Diversion Swales	
Observed Performance:	Erosion Protection in place:	Yes 💋 No _	May require repair: Yes* No
	Flow Channel Silting In:	Yes _ No ¥	May require repair: Yes* No
	Head Cutting:	Yes No <u>∞</u>	May require repair: Yes* No
Observed A Damage:	Jonan		
Potential Corrective Actions:	(no-		

Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map.	1	9
Mark all areas of concern or requiring repairs on attached site map. WE Had all the Important of Important.	No greats co	Same

Activity	2004 Budget	Revised Budget May 2004	Committed Cost this Period	Cumulative Committed Cost To Date 6-30-06	Forecasted Cost To Complete	Forecasted Final Cost	Remarks on Forecast to Complete
Phases I through III (Completed February 2006)	j						
Phase I - Drain Excess Liquid From Tailings	189,200	72,700		67,928	0	67,928	Attitude to the second
Phases II, IIA + IIB - Evaporate Excess Liquid	6,000	8,000		242,882	0	242,882	
	-,-					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Phase III - Regrading & Final Cover System	337,000	342,050		504,742	0	504,742	
Field Indirect Costs	164,500	213,568		378,517	Ó	378,517	Includes Jan + Feb 2006 long term monitoring costs
Hecla Costs	18,700	18,700	0	33,324	0	33,324	
Subtotal Phases I through III	715,400	655,018	0	1,227,393	0	1,227,393	
ong Term Monitoring (through FY 2010)							
Site Inspections			177	570		4,862	
Settlement Monitoring Consultant Support:		·	0	2,025	8,100	10,125	
Annual Geotechnical Engineer Inspections			0	2,495	18,100	20 505	Includes settlement monitoring data analysis
Vegetation Monitoring				0		20,000	Allowance for surveys in FY 2007, 2009 and 2010
Maintenance:							
Erosion Repair Allowance				0		7,500	
Overseeding Allowance				0	9,920	9,920	
Hecla Project Management Costs:					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Labor			500			10,175	
Travel expenses			680	680	1,912	2,592	
Subtotal Long Term Monitoring	0	0	1,357	7,336	78,433	85,769	
Total Pond 2 Final Closure	715,400	655,018	1,357	1,234,729	78,433	1,313,162	
					•		<u>-</u> .